

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,285	07/22/2003	Peter R. Munguia	P16384	7500	
25694	7590 10/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTEL CORPORATION			DOAN, DUC T		
P.O. BOX 53 SANTA CLA	26 .RA, CA 95056-5326		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	2188		
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	arv	10/625,285	MUNGUIA ET AL.				
	<i>y</i>	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Duc T. Doan	2188				
All Participants:		Status of Application:					
(1) <u>Duc T. Doan</u> .		(3)					
(2) <u>Jose R. Matta 56978</u> .		(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>12 October 2006</u>		Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:							
Part I.							
Rejection(s) discussed:							
•							
Claims discussed:							
24,38,41,47		·					
Prior art documents discussed: William et al (US 6199151)			·				
Part II.							
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet							
Part III.							
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 							
	·						
•							
Lie Joan							
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)						

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Interview Summary

A telephonic interview was conducted with Applicant's representative, Jose R. Matta #56978 on 10/12/06 to discuss the claims 24,38,41 and 47 as been amended in the request for continuation examination filed on 8/14/06. The amendments and remarks appear to overcome the reference William et al (US 6199151). However, the claims 24,38,41 appear failing to comply with the written description requirement.

As in claim 24, Examiner requests further clarifications on boot, reset and other pre-configuration state of the apparatus. Examiner further suggests amendments in claim 24 to indicate:

"generating an unencoded chip select word in response to .. unencoded chip select mode" and "generating an encoded chip select word in response to .. encoded chip select mode".

As in claims 38,41,47 Examiner suggests amendments similar to above of claim 24. .